



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,049	08/04/2003	Jose Luis Francesc	MED-015	5515
36822	7590	08/06/2007	EXAMINER	
GORDON & JACOBSON, P.C.			NGUYEN, TUAN VAN	
60 LONG RIDGE ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 407			3731	
STAMFORD, CT 06902				

  

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/06/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/634,049	FRANCESE ET AL.
	Examiner Tuan V. Nguyen	Art Unit 3731

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan V. Nguyen.

(3) Jay Sbrollini (Reg. No. 36,266).

(2) Jackie Ho (SPE).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6228063 (Aboul-Hosn); US6537299 (Hogendijk et al); and US 5830191 (Hildwein et al).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's argument in the After Final, which filed on July 25, 2007, was discussed. With respect to claim 19, the argument have been fully considered and persuasive, therefore, the finality in previous rejection is hereby withdrawn. With respect to claim 1, examiner asserts that the rejection is proper.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

(JACKIE) TAN-UYEN HO  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

08/01/07

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.